1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 8 9 JOEL ROSS SEMPIER, Petitioner, 10 Case No. 3:13-cv-00603-RCJ-VPC 11 VS. **ORDER** WARDEN LEGRAND, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 The court directed petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed as 15 unexhausted. Order (#6). Petitioner has not responded to the court's order within the allotted time. 16 17 The court will dismiss this action as unexhausted. 18 Reasonable jurists would not find the court's conclusions to be debatable or wrong, and the 19 court will not issue a certificate of appealability. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for 20 petitioner's failure to exhaust his available state-court remedies. The clerk of the court shall enter 21 judgment accordingly. 22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. 23 Dated: August 26, 2014. 24 25 26 United States District Judge 27 28